How to do comparative constitutional law

(→ Reitz, Nunn, Spivak)

- 1. do explicit comparison (versus description of foreign system)
- 2. discuss potential functional equivalences
- 3. define distinctive characteristics of and commonalities among legal systems
- 4. develop broader terms (but be careful of a prioris)
- 5. describe reasons for and significance of differences and commonalities
- 6. note understanding of (different) legal actors and difference between text and realities
- 7. know the law
- 8. be comparative throughout
- 9. respect the other

Additional guidelines for comparative analysis:

- explicitly define the purposes of your work
- explain your choice of subjects and criteria of comparison
- be systematic and structured in your analysis and be aware of the significance of your choice
- draw careful and well founded conclusions, do not overestimate your findings, dare to pose better questions
- develop perspectives for practical and for theoretical use distinguish between settings